

Preparing Teachers for Inclusive Education

¹Dr. Jyoti Singh, ²Dr. Pardeep Sharma

¹Dept. of Geography, J.V.Jain College Saharanpur, UP, India

²Dept. of Geography, R.K.J.K Barasia P.G. College Surajgarh, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan, India

Introduction

There are an estimated 25 million children out of school in India (MHRD 2003 statistics, cited in World Bank, 2004), many of whom are marginalized by factors such as poverty, gender, disability, caste, religion etc. Therefore undoubtedly the idea of inclusive education is certainly highly relevant to our current condition, where differences in religion, faith, gender, ethnicity and ability are often seen as a threat rather than a source of richness and diversity. Inclusive education stands for improvement of schools in all dimensions to address the educational needs of all children. The major support for inclusive education came from the 1994 World conference on Special Needs Education in Salamanca, Spain which emphasized that: Schools should accommodate all children regardless of their physical, intellectual, social, emotional, linguistic or other conditions. The urgency to address the needs of learners who are vulnerable to exclusion through responsive educational opportunities was also highlighted at the Dakar (Senegal) World Education forum in April 2000 where in it was emphasized –“The key challenge is to ensure that the broad vision of education for all as an inclusive concept reflected in national government and funding agency policies. Education for All... must take account of the needs of the poor and the most disadvantaged, including working children, remote rural dwellers and nomads, and ethnic and linguistic minorities, children, young people and adults affected by conflict, HIV/AIDS, hunger and poor health; and those with special learning needs...”.

When considering understandings of, approaches to, and impacts of inclusive education, the inevitable diversity and complexity in a context of this size must be taken into account. India's 1.3 billion people speak 18 different languages (GOI, 2002), and 844 dialects (Singal, 2005a), worship varied religions, have unique customs, differ in their exposure to disease and access to types of nutrition which affect their health and socio economic status, and also communication which influence their access to government resources such as education or healthcare.

This diversity is further reflected in disparities of educational achievement. For example in Kerala the literacy rate recorded in the 2001 census was 90.92%, while in Bihar it was 47.53% (GOI, 2002). As a result, the overall (average) literacy rate for India was 65.38% which, while a representative figure cannot reflect the complexity of context (Govinda and Biswal, 2006). Added to this issue of averaging out statistics in such a vast country is the fact that when census data was collected there were some areas which were cut off by “disturbances” or “natural calamities” (GOI, 2002:11) such as the Kashmir conflict, floods or landslides. Data on children with disabilities seems to be unreliable, perhaps due to Filmer's (2005:3) “selective reporting” of obvious physical impairments, or children being hidden by their families out of shame.

While impairment is not restricted to any one class or age group, people with disabilities are often found to be amongst the poorest of the poor (Hans, 2003; DFID, 2000) However, a caste/disability link does not seem to have been empirically researched to date in the Indian context. There are many govt. and NGO programmes focused on caste and gender in India, yet none seem to be concerned with how disability interacts and reinforces these dimensions of exclusion. For example, the multiple handicap of being a disabled

woman can manifest itself in many ways. Her marriage chances are slight (Coleridge, 1993) she may be more subject to infanticide, her dowry higher as she is perceived as infertile, she is more likely to be abused or hidden from public view by her family, and she “... ceases to exist as a person and is excluded from being recognized as a woman in the fullest sense because she does not fit in with the model of women defined by society and dear to the collective imagination.” (Hans, 2003:22). Although the govt. of India over the years initiated and implemented a number of programmes such as Integrated Education for Disabled Children (IEDC), Project on Integrated Education for Disabled (PIED) funded by UNICEF and govt. by NCERT, DPEP, Janshala, SSA etc which have led to increase in enrolment and retention but only a miniscule of children with disabilities have been integrated in mainstream institutions. Inclusive Education Policy Recommendations to send children with disabilities to mainstream schools were first made in the Sargent Report in 1944, and again in 1964 by the Kothari Commission (Julka, 2005). Despite this, the change has been slow, with segregation in special schools dominating the scene until recently.

Despite the promotion of inclusive education, govt. documents focus on inclusive education as being about including children with disabilities in the education system, but not specifically the mainstream (Singal, 2005a). However, inclusion in the education system is not the same as inclusion in the mainstream. It is however arguable that special education is in fact regarded as superior in India due to its preferred status (Mukhopadhyay and Mani, 2002) and that it is inclusion in the mainstream that is currently seen as the resource – constrained inferior alternative. However the limited coverage of mainly urban-based, impairment specific special schools in India may result in the exclusion of children with disabilities who do not fit the categories of their institutions or who live in rural areas. Inclusive education may be the only way of facilitating educational access for these children.

A focus on physical access to school, rather than access to curriculum and equal treatment once in the classroom (Thomas, 2005) is an additional barrier to inclusion, possibly resulting in dropout. Singal (2005a) too argues that government policy focus on resources and physical access (e.g. distribution of aids and appliances), or infrastructure such as ramps in schools, and the notion of social justice through equal distribution of benefit, seems to be more about inputs, not processes like pedagogy, curriculum or attitudes. It is this focus which results in selection of the relatively few-“Easy to accommodate children” with mild or moderate disabilities that do not need too much specialist assistance. Teacher Education Programmes

II. Infrastructural support

A small pool of resources (41% of GDP for education UNDP, 2005:256) despite a promised 6% by 2000 (GOI, 2002) combined with high demand, suggests that the development of the mainstream would be a more financially effective and efficient way to go (Peters, 2004; UNESCO, 2003). This could result in smaller classes and better teaching which would benefit all students (Singal, 2005). Arguably, resources would not be so limited after all if all specialist institutions were moved to the mainstream, which may

explain why Thomas (2005) argues that, there are indeed sufficient resources in India to implement inclusive education. However, this solution could mean that the essential services which some special schools provide (and would still be needed) would be spread wider, and thinner.

III. Prospects of Inclusive Education

Inclusive education is a developmental approach seeking to address the learning needs of all children, youth and adults with a specific focus on those who are vulnerable to marginalization and exclusion. An increasing number of publications, policy papers, workshops etc. have supported the ideology of inclusion. Some organizations and people, however, doubt whether the ordinary classroom can provide quality education for disabled children. This debate has been on, ever since people began to voice their reservation against old segregated institutions and in turn raised their concern for equality of disabled children. These concerns must be taken seriously and dispelled by showing examples of positive experiences, which clearly demonstrate that inclusive education most definitely addresses quality issues in education. The major goal of inclusive education is the Flagship goal. Recognizing the right to education, the Flagship seeks to unite all EFA partners in their efforts to provide access to quality education for every child, youth and adult with a disability.

The Flagship has been formed by an alliance of diverse organizations, including global disability organizations, international developmental agencies, intergovernmental agencies, and experts in the field of special and inclusive education.

In order to reach this goal, the flagship will:

- Have the full participation of persons with disabilities and families in the design of all Flagship activities.
- Seek to ensure that all governmental entities, donors and NGOs endorse the universal right to education for all children, youth and adults with a disability.
- Act as a catalyst to fully incorporate the Flagship goal into national plans of action and regional policies.
- Identify and disseminate effective practices and stimulate research and studies related to the Flagship goal to include such areas as:
 - Quality teacher education
 - Curriculum and pedagogy
 - School organization including adequate accessible facilities
 - Aids and appropriate materials

Many countries have developed programs, which promote equality of opportunity by allocating specific funds to areas of social and economic need. If inclusion is to be successful, the following parameters need to be taken care of:

- Encouragement provided by the community for including children with disabilities in local schools.
- Readiness of the general education system to accept responsibility for education of children with disabilities.
- Willingness of parents of children with disabilities to send their wards to local schools.
- General classroom teachers to be equipped to manage the education of children with disabilities.
- Enrolment rate of children with disabilities at least on par with that of non-disabled children.
- Retention of children with disabilities in schools.
- Availability of support from peer group to children with disabilities and vice-versa in teaching learning processes.
- Comparable achievement of children with disabilities in

curricular and co-curricular activities at par with their capabilities.

- Availability of specialist teacher support, if possible to the regular classroom teachers.

Thus, we as teachers, parents, teacher-educators etc have to facilitate the implementation of inclusive education not only as a program but also as an ideology- an ideology based on the principles of human rights approach wherein stress is laid on giving importance to the individual and respecting his/her potentiality in the teaching-learning process.

IV. Conclusion

It is important to remember that Inclusive education is at a very early stage of conceptualization and implementation in India. The fact that it is being discussed, debated and in some places implemented although falteringly, demonstrates a willingness to engage with elements with elements of a new concept that has the potential to be developed in the future in a positive manner.

So long as the “struggle to achieve compulsory education for a majority of children takes precedence over meeting the needs of those with disabilities...” (Ainscow et al, 1995 cited in Singal, 2005b:338), change for children with disabilities will continue to be sporadic and painfully slow. The division of educational responsibility for children, between the MSJE for those with disabilities and the MHRD for those without, can only exacerbate this struggle, and highlight the ‘different’ nature of children with disabilities needs and the special needs focus of inclusive education with it. This implies that if inclusive education came under one ministry, most probably the MHRD, potentially both conceptualization and implementation could be clarified and promoted, while the needs of children with disabilities could finally be mainstreamed.

Human resources potential aside, without education, marginalized children may not be able to fulfill their rights as citizens (Tomasevski, 2003) in the largest democracy of the world. Inclusive education thus needs to be implemented with full rigour in order to fulfill the mandate of Education for all as guaranteed by the Fundamental Right to education declared by the 86th Constitutional amendment act in 2002 and enacted as a law in the year 2009.

References

- [1] Ainscow, M. (2005) From Special Education to Effective Schools for All, Keynote presentation at the Inclusive and Supportive Education Congress 2005, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow
- [2] Alur, M. (2002) Introduction, in Hegarty, S & Alur M (eds) (2002) Education and Children with Special Needs: from Segregation to Inclusion, New Delhi: Sage Publications
- [3] Bhambani, M. (2003) Societal Responses to women with Disabilities in India, in Hans, A & Patri, A (eds) (2003) Women, Disability and Identity, New Delhi: Sage Publications
- [4] Bhan, S. (2006) Arm Them with Land, in India Today International, May 2006, p.18 a. Booth, T., Nes, K., & Stromstad, M. (eds) (2003) Developing Inclusive Teacher Education, London: Routledge Falmer
- [5] Clough, P., & Corbett, J. (2000), Theories of Inclusive Education, London: Paul Chapman Publishing, Sage
- [6] Constitution of India (1949) Constitution of India, Article 41, New Delhi: Ministry of Law and Justice, accessed at: <http://indiacode.nic.in/coiweb/fullact1.asp?tfnm=00%2052>
- [7] De Haan, A (1998) ‘Social Exclusion’: An Alternative Concept for the Study of Deprivation? IDS Bulletin, Vol.

- 29 (1), 1998, Falmer: Institute of Development Studies .
- [8] DFID (2001) Children Out of School, London: Department for International Development
- [9] GOI (2000) India: Education For All Year 2000 Assessment, Ministry of Human Resources Development, New Delhi: Government of India
- [10] GOI (2002) India 2002: A Reference Annual, Publications Divison, Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, New Delhi: Government of India